Effect of Phage SAvB14 combined with antibiotics on *Staphylococcus aureus* variant bovis
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**Introduction**

*Staphylococcus aureus* is the main causative agent of mastitis in cows around the globe (Yengkho et al., 2019; Duse et al., 2021). A peculiarity of *Staphylococcus* mastitis is the high risk of transmitting *S. aureus* among the animals and the ability of the pathogen to remain in the udder of cows in the form of subclinical infection (Kirkeby et al., 2019; Peralta et al., 2020). An important factor of virulence of this pathogen is its ability of adhesion, incapaculation in the epithelial tissues and formation of biofilm which hinders the antibiotic treatment and leads to chronic infection (Kukhtyn et al., 2017; Zhao et al., 2020). Traditional treatment of *Staphylococcus* mastitis of cows during lactation and dry period requires using antimicrobial drugs (Bianchi et al., 2019). Efficiency of mastitis treatment of dry cows using antibiotics reached 70% (Dingwell et al., 2003), while the efficacy of those same drugs was 20–40% lower in the period of lactation (Linder et al., 2013). Despite the fact that the situation with antibiotic-resistance of pathogens in Ukraine is escalating, doctors cannot abandon the use of antibiotics completely (Zazharskyi et al., 2019, 2020; Horiuk et al., 2020).

Because *S. aureus* is usually highly resistant to antibiotics, being practically unbeatable, the entire antibiotic treatment is sub-inhibiting, while the pathogen becomes even more antibiotic-resistant (Yengkho et al., 2019; Peralta et al., 2020). The fact that every application of antibiotic drugs promotes resistance to bacterial isolates requires general decrease in use of antibiotic drugs in human and veterinary medicines. This emphasizes the need for alternative solutions for treating bacterial infections, including mastitis.

One of the proposed alternatives is phage therapy (Kortright et al., 2019; Berryhill et al., 2021). Bacteriophages are natural viruses that exhibit high specificity to bacterial host (Sillankorva & Azeredo, 2014; Berryhill et al., 2021). A phage infects bacteria by injecting its genetic material through the cellular wall and the membrane, orienting the host’s metabolism toward fast replication of phages. Before the final stage of the cycle of biophage replication, biophage-encoded endolysins affect the cellular wall of the bacteria from the inside, leading to release of progeny phages and elimination of the pathogen (Kim et al., 2018; Streicher, 2021). Global interest in phage therapy may be seen in the increasing amount of the recent clinical trials using peroral, intravenous and local administrations of phages (Abedon, 2020; Streicher, 2021).

One of the most serious problems of managing microbial agents is removal of biofilms (Suresh et al., 2019). Biofilms are the commonest way of life among bacteria in the natural and artificial environments (Kumar et al., 2018). Therefore, studies indicate that biofilms take part in at least 65% of all bacterial infections. Accordingly, efficient antibacterial therapy must be oriented at removing biofilms. Resistance mechanisms intrinsic to bacteria’s way of life in biofilm vary and include interference...
of extracellular matrix with antimicrobial agents. On the one hand, the matrix creates a physical barrier to diffusion of substances, and on the other hand, chemical interactions between matrix polymers and antimicrobial substances hinder antibacterial activity (Pires et al., 2017; Gondál et al., 2020). Therefore, an important characteristic of an antimicrobial drug should be the ability to penetrate the biofilm and reach the target bacteria. Numerous studies demonstrated that bacteriophages are able to destroy biofilms in the laboratory conditions (Sillankorva & Azeredo, 2014; Al-edon, 2020). Nonetheless, natural biofilms may likely be partly resistant to phages, which would make elimination of phage-sensitive bacteria incomplete (Sillankorva & Azeredo, 2014). Therefore, to increase the effect of phage therapy, we assessed combination effect of bacteriophages coupled with various antibiotics on staphylococcus biofilms. As expected, the advantages of such an approach were greater inhibition of growth of the bacteria and reduced bacterial ability to develop resistance against phages or antibiotics.

There are a number of studies demonstrating positive results of using staphylococcus bacteriophages and traditional antibiotics to inhibit biofilms (Kelly et al., 2012). For example, scientists (Kamal & Dennis, 2015) reported subtherapeutic concentrations of antibiotics being favourable for phages’ activity, and therefore reducing the number of bacteria. It has been reported that exposure to penicillin increased phage induction. Also, there were reports about induction of phages of Escherichia coli and Staphylococcus aureus by antibiotics of β-lactam antibiotics (Ryan et al., 2012; Chhibber et al., 2013; Chaudhry et al., 2017). Such a phenomenon was called phage-antibiotic synergy (PAS). However, such an effect was only observed after using certain combinations and it did not occur naturally.

In spite of the great variety of phages, there are still many untested phage-antibiotic combinations. Furthermore, positive effect of the treatment may depend to a high degree on the conditions of treatment, for example dosage, frequency and order of introduction, etc.

Thus, there is a need of studying various interactions between phages and antibiotics in order to develop optimized antibacterial strategies of fighting staphylococcus mastitis of cows.

The objective of this study was the influence of Phage SAvB14 on microbial biofilms of S. aureus var. bovis both separately and in complexes with antibiotics.

**Materials and methods**

For this study, we used strain S. aureus var. bovis 1491 f and bacteriophage Phage SAvB14, isolated at dairy farms and deposited in the State Scientific Research Control Institute of Strains and Microorganisms (Kyiv). Isolate S. aureus var. bovis 1491 f was the host for reproduction of the phages.

In this study, we used antibiotics of the classes that are employed in veterinary medicine most often and have different mechanisms of action toward bacterial cells: aminoglycosides (gentamicin (Basalt, Ukraine)), tetracyclines (tetracycline (Interchemic, the Netherlands), cephalosporins (ceftiazine (Basalt, Ukraine)) and fluoroquinolones (enrofloxacin (Basalt, Ukraine)) (Table 1). Minimum inhibiting concentrations of the selected antibiotics were the topic of our study performed earlier (Horik et al., 2018).

**Table 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of antibiotic</th>
<th>Mechanism of action</th>
<th>Minimum inhibiting concentrations of the antibiotics for planktonic microorganisms, µg/mL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gentamicin</td>
<td>Inhibitor of protein synthesis</td>
<td>12.5 ± 1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tetracycline</td>
<td>Inhibitor of protein synthesis</td>
<td>500 ± 4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enrofloxacin</td>
<td>Inhibitor of DNA synthesis</td>
<td>3.1 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ceftriaxone</td>
<td>Inhibitor of synthesis of cellular wall</td>
<td>220 ± 1.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For the research, we used 24 h biofilms of S. aureus var. bovis 1491 f, cultivated in disposable plastic Petri dishes. Prior to the cultivation, 5 cm³ of meat-peptone agar (Merck, USA) was added, and then 1 cm³ of 24 h culture of S. aureus was introduced in the concentration of 10⁷ CFU/cm³ and incubated at 37 °C for 24 h. For the incubation, the Petri dishes were rinsed three times with phosphate buffer to remove plankton (non-attacked) microorganisms, dried and then the developed biofilms were fixed using 96% ethyl alcohol for 10 min. Then, we carried out staining with 0.1% solution of crystalline violet for 10 min. Next, we discharged the dye and rinsed the material three times using phosphate buffer and subsequently dried it at room temperature. Then, 3.0 cm³ of 96% ethyl alcohol was added to the Petri dishes, which were left for 20–30 minutes and regularly shaken. We measured optical density of the alcohol solution using spectrophotometer technique at the wavelength of 570 nm (Stepanovic et al., 2000). In the experiment, we used the culture, optical density of the alcohol solution for the dye from biofilms equaled 1.78 ± 0.07 units, compared to – 0.12 ± 0.01 units (similar manipulations were done with pure meat-peptide agar without bacterial culture).

Effect of combined application of phage and antibiotic was evaluated using simultaneous and staggered introductions of antibiotics and bacteriophage. To determine the actions of antibiotics and bacteriophage Phage SAvB14 after their simultaneous exposure to rinsed 24h biofilm, we introduced 1 mL of suspension of Phage SAvB14 with titer of 10⁹ PFU/mL and 1 mL of antibiotic in corresponding concentrations. The results were assessed after 24 h. The biofilms were rinsed from the residuals of antibiotics and phages using triple sterile phosphate buffer. Then, 5 cm² of sterile 0.5% solution of sodium chloride was added and we accurately removed the microbial biofilm from the wall and the bottom of the dish using a cotton swab. From the Petri dishes, we took 1.0 cm³ of the suspension, prepared a series of ten-fold dilutions, inoculated 1.0 cm³ of each dilution to Petri dishes, poured meat-peptone agar and carried out incubation in the temperature of 37 °C for 24–48 h. Control was 24 h biofilms that were subjected to the influence of isotonic solution of NaCl for 24 h.

To determine the action of antibiotic and bacteriophage Phage SAvB14 introduced one after the other, we exposed rinsed 24 h biofilm to the bacteriophage (10⁹ PFU/mL) for 12 h, and antibiotic for the next 12 h. The biofilms were rinsed from residuals of antibiotics and phages using sterile phosphate buffer. The amount of staphylococci was determined similarly to the experiment where the phages and antibiotic were introduced simultaneously.

To identify the action of antibiotic toward the cells of Staphylococcus in biofilm, we exposed it to certain concentrations of biocide. The biofilms were rinsed from the remains of antibiotics using sterile phosphate buffer. The number of vital cells of staphylococci in biofilm was determined in the same way as in the previous experiments. The control comprised 24 h biofilms exposed to 0.5% solution of NaCl for 24 h.

Titer of bacteriophages was determined according to the Gracia’s method (Wills et al., 2005) in liquid (mixture of bacteriophage and antibiotic) after its removal from the Petri dishes after 24 h influence on biofilms. The antibiotics were neutralized using the standard methods. The control was titer of bacteriophage in liquid with no antibiotics following 24 h action of Phage SAvB14 toward biofilm. All the studies were conducted in three replications.

The results were statistically analyzed using ANOVA, the data are presented as x ± SD (mean ± standard deviation). Difference between the values in the experiment was determined using Tukey test (Tukey HSD). The difference was considered significant at P < 0.05 (taking into account Bonferroni correction).

**Results**

According to the results of our research and reports of other scientists, the treatment of mastitis in cows is most often performed with drugs based on aminoglycosides, tetracyclines, cephalosporins and fluoroquinolones. To perform the study, we selected four antibiotics: gentamicin, tetracycline, ceftiazine and enrofloxacin. Those antibiotics were chosen depending on the mechanism of their action: inhibitor of protein synthesis (gentamicin, tetracycline), inhibitor of DNA synthesis (enrofloxacin) and inhibitor of synthesis of cellular wall (ceftiazime). Previous studies revealed that 8 hours following the contact of virus and Staphylococcus, microbial cells began to undergo lysis, which decreased their number 10-fold, and 32 h after the phage started to contact the biofilm, no bacterial cells were generating at all (Horik et al., 2020). In this study, we determined the number of vital bacteria in 24h of biofilm of S. aureus var. bovis before and after its 24h exposure to bacteriophage Phage SAvB14, antibiotics
and various combinations of them. When determining the number of Staphylococcus aureus bacteria in biofilm in the conditions of its exposure to Phage SAVB14 in complex with gentamycin (Fig. 1), we determined that Phage SAVB14 did affect staphylococcus biofilm, causing 7.94 decrease in the number of vital cells (P < 0.05), compared with the control — the action of isotonic NaCl solution toward the biofilm. In the same conditions, when the biofilm was exposed to gentamycin alone, the number of staphylococci decreased by 3.98 times (P < 0.05), compared with the control. However, greatest anti-biofilm effect was observed during the action of the phage in complex with antibiotic. Simultaneous addition of antibacterial substances led to synergic interaction that expressed in 39.81 decrease in the number of bacteria (P < 0.05), compared with Phage SAVB14 alone and 79.44 times (P < 0.05) compared with gentamycin. Staggered influence of first the bacteriophage and then antibiotic destroyed almost 100% of the biofilm, and decreased the number of bacteria by 25.05 times on average (P < 0.05), compared with simultaneous action of the phage and antibiotic.

The results of identifying the effect of Phage SAVB14 bacteriophage coupled with tetracycline on Staphylococcus biofilms (Fig. 2) revealed that bactericidal interaction with tetracycline was weaker, compared with gentamycin. Therefore, simultaneous introduction of the phage and tetracycline caused no synergic effect on biofilms of staphylococci, the number of which was the same as after exposure to bacteriophage only. At the same time, staggered introduction of phage and antibiotic led to more notable bactericidal effect — the number of bacteria was 6.31 times (P < 0.05) lower than after the simultaneous use.

Representative of fluoroquinolones — enrofloxacin also caused no increase in the synergic antimicrobial effect when coupled with Phage SAVB14 in the conditions of their simultaneous introduction (Fig. 3), the number of cells in biofilm was the same as after exposure to phage or antibiotic. However, after staggered treatment with phage and enrofloxacin, we observed higher mortality among staphylococci cells, their number decreased by 50.12 on average (P < 0.05), equalling 3.7 log CFU/mL of water sample.

Similar tendency was also seen when studying interaction between Phage SAVB14 and ceftriaxone (Fig. 4). The data presented in Figure 4 suggests that staggered introduction of the phage and ceftriaxone produced significant decrease in cells of staphylococci in the biofilm down to 2.7 log CFU/mL, which was on average 316.34 times (P < 0.05) less than such caused by simultaneous introduction of the phage coupled with antibiotic. Other variants of eliminating bacteria in biofilm were less effective.

Titer of Phage SAVB14 bacteriophage underwent changes after being used with different combinations of antibiotics (Fig. 5). In particular, after staggered treatment with bacteriophages and antibiotics, titer of phages was on average 8.45 times (P < 0.05) lower than after simultaneous introduction, equaling 7.1–7.7 log PFU/mL (experiment 2). At the same time, the lowest titer was seen after simultaneous use of the phage with gentamycin – log 5.4 PFU/mL (experiment 1). After simultaneous applications of the phage with other antibiotics (tetracycline, enrofloxacin and ceftriaxone), it was 7.79–398.10 times higher (P < 0.05), compared with gentamycin.
Discussion

Bacterial cells are most often structured in multi-cellular communities – biofilms – where they can resist the negative effects of the environment (Dingwell et al., 2003; Pires et al., 2017). Indeed, one of the most difficult current tasks in combating bacterial infections around the globe is destroying biofilms. Development of biofilms of *S. aureus* var. *bovis* during mastitis of cows is first of all associated with decrease in sensitivity of the pathogen to antimicrobial drugs, which may be explained by limiting of diffusion of active agents through matrix of biofilm and decrease in metabolic activity of bacteria in its composition (Dingwell et al., 2003). Against such a background and increase in resistance to antibiotics, the interest in use of bacteriophages as antibacterial agents has returned (Kortright et al., 2019; Abedon, 2020; Streicher, 2021). One of the properties of phages is their ability to permeate into the deep layers of biofilms and destroy target bacteria (Abedon, 2020). However, there are a number of restrictions regarding their use, one of which being the ability of bacteria to become resistant to phages (Kamat & Dennis, 2015). Therefore, researchers have proposed combination therapy as a mean of controlling the resistance of pathogens to both phages and antibiotics. In a perfect scenario, phage-antibiotic combination should be developed in such a way that resistance to one agent would increase susceptibility to the other. However, the synergic antimicrobial effect of phage-antibiotic complex depends on many factors, specifically concentration, lytic cycle of bacteriophage, mechanism of antibiotic’s action toward bacterial cell, etc. (Ryan et al., 2012; Chaudhry et al., 2017).

Earlier described Phage SAVB14 (Horiiuk et al., 2020), as a specific staphylococci bacteriophage, is efficient in destroying biofilms developed by *S. aureus* var. *bovis* during cows’ mastitis. In this study, we focused on synergic activity with various antibiotics against 24 h biofilms of *S. aureus* var. *bovis*.

The results described in this study indicate that Phage SAVB14 phage was more efficient against *S. aureus* var. *bovis* in the formed biofilm than antibiotics used in the experiment. The phage decimated 1.99 times (*P < 0.05*) more bacteria that gentamycin, 1.97 times (*P < 0.05*) more than tetracycline, 1.25 times (*P < 0.05*) more than enrofloxacin and 1.58 times (*P < 0.05*) more than ceftriaxone.

Complex simultaneous introduction of phage and antibiotic also decreased the number of vital bacteria. However, efficacy of simultaneous treatment with antibiotic-phage complex was lower than use of phage alone. Only two of the studied antibiotics (gentamycin and ceftriaxone) exerted synergic effect in combination with Phage SAVB14, whereas their combined use decreased the number of *S. aureus* in biofilm by 39.81 times (*P < 0.05*) compared with the phage-only treatment. Significant synergic effect was also exhibited by ceftriaxone – simultaneously introduced with the phage it killed 1.26 times (*P < 0.05*) more bacteria than the phage only. Other antibiotics did not increase the anti-biofilm action of the phage. In particular, tetracycline and enrofloxacin left 1.11 and 1.26 times (*P < 0.05*) more vital cells than the action of bacteriophage alone.

Our studies also found that the lowest tier of bacteriophage (log 5.4–7.0 PFU/mL) was observed after simultaneous use of phage and antibiotic. Therefore, antibiotics also took antagonistic effect on the action of the phages. This phenomenon was explained by researchers (Dickey & Perrot, 2019), who reported that proteins are some of the structural components of virions, and after using antibiotics, one of the mechanisms may be inhibition of protein and DNA syntheses, which may further influence the development of new phage virions.

Exposure of biofilm to phages before introduction of antibiotics allows phages to quickly reproduce in the bacteriologically dense environment of biofilm, causing high density of phages and respectively damage to the matrix (Kasman et al., 2002; Chaudhry et al., 2017). Addition of antibiotics to such a system leads to fast decrease in the number of bacteria because of deeper penetration of antimicrobial substances. However, when biofilms are first exposed to actions of antibiotics and then phages, the population of bacteria that the phage could infect decreases, which may negatively affect kinetics of bacteriophage reproduction and ultimately the efficiency of phage therapy (Horiiuk et al., 2020; Morrisette et al., 2020). Research has (Wang et al., 2020) experimentally confirmed that staggered introduction of Sh-1, flucloxacillin, cefazolin or fosfomycin improved antibiotic activity toward biofilms in four of six mexitilin-sensitive *S. aureus*, whereas simultaneous influence demonstrated similar or less synergy. Our studies correlate with the earlier published data (Wang et al., 2020), when staggered introduction of phage and antibiotic caused 97.5–100.0% destruction of biofilm.

Mechanism of antibiotics’ action toward microbial cells may also affect phage-antibiotic interaction during the destruction of biofilm. Therefore, we selected antibiotics with various means of action. According to the results of the studies, the greatest synergism of interaction with Phage SAVB14 phage was exerted by gentamycin (Fig. 1). Aminoglycosides are inhibitors of protein synthesis, which would most likely inhibit the production of phages. However, the study of interaction with this class of antibiotics revealed that phages have a mechanism that allows avoidance of antibiotic inhibition of ribosome and provide synthesis of phage proteins (Conlter et al., 2014). On the other hand, bacterial substances enhance cellular resistance with following stimulation of hydroxyl radicals that cause death of cells (Kohasaki et al., 2007). Because the replication of phages is believed to depend on metabolically active bacteria, increase in cellular respiration may stimulate indirect phage infection (Abedon, 2020). Furthermore, this phenomenon may be explained by the fact that aminoglycosides may form populations of bacteria with strong aggregation phenotype, which have increased ability to develop biofilms. However, these populations of microorganisms are more sensitive to influence of bacteria than their parental strain (Kirby, 2012).

A somewhat lower level of interaction was exhibited by ceftriaxone (Fig. 4). It increased the impact of the phage on cells in biofilm by 1.26–1.71 times (*P < 0.05*). This may be explained by the fact that cephalosporins are inhibitors of the cellular wall, which increase filamentation of cells, and therefore provoke production of higher number of phage particles (Kim et al., 2018). It is also possible that combination treatment using phage enzymes that damage the integrity of bacterial membrane in complex with action of antibiotic may significantly improve lysis of cell.

Other antibiotics taken into the study displayed low synergic antibacterial effect in combinations with bacteriophage Phage SAVB14. The reason for this may be the antagonistic mechanism of action. For example, an antibiotic obstructs the replication of bacterial DNA, or because antibiotic destroys host bacteria that are necessary for spreading phage infection. (Wills et al., 2005; Chhibber et al., 2013; Akturk et al., 2019).

Therefore, the results of the study reveal that the greatest synergic effect of interaction between Phage SAVB14 and the tested antibiotics was
observed during their staggered introduction (first bacteriophage, then antibiotic). However, none of the combinations of phage and antibiotic led to complete elimination of staphylococcus cells in biofilm, even despite significant synergy of phage with such antibiotics as gentamicin and ceftriaxone. This indicates the role of biofilm in protection of bacteria from antibiotics and formation of persistence cells.

Conclusion

The study revealed that the greatest synergistic effect of interaction between Phage SAVB14 phage and antibiotics was seen after their staggered application (first bacteriophage and then antibiotic). Simultaneous introduction of Phage SAVB14 and gentamicin caused 39.8-fold decrease (P < 0.05) in the number of vital staphylococci in biofilm, while no cells were being produced after staggered treatment. Influence of Phage SAVB14 bacteriophage in complex with tetracycline used simultaneously caused no synergic effect on staphylococci in biofilm, whereas staggered introduction of Phage SAVB14 and antibiotic took notable bactericidal effect – the number of bacteria was 6.31 times (P < 0.05) lower than after simultaneous use.

Enfloxacin led to no increase in synergistic antimicrobial effect during interaction with Phage SAVB14 in simultaneous treatment, although staggered introduction of phage and enfloxacin decreased the number of staphylococci by 50.12 times (P < 0.05) on average. A similar tendency was seen during interaction between Phage SAVB14 and ceftriaxone: staggered treatment decreased the number of staphylococcus cells in biofilm to 2.7 log CFU/mL of water sample, which was on average 316.34 times (P < 0.05) lower than after simultaneous introduction of phage and antibiotic.

Titer of Phage SAVB14 bacteriophage also underwent changes in the case of its application with various combinations of antibiotics. Particularly, after staggered treatment with bacteriophages and antibiotics, phage titer was on average 8.45 times (P < 0.05) higher than after simultaneous introduction. At the same time, the lowest titer was observed after simultaneous use of phage and gentamicin (log 5.4 PFU/mL). After influence of other antibiotics: tetracycline, ceftriaxone and enfloxacin, it was higher by 7.91–398.10 times (P < 0.05).

The results we obtained suggest that to destroy biofilms of S. aureus var. bovis, one may use Phage SAVB14 as a separate antibacterial agent, as well as in complex with antibiotics. However, using the phage in complex with antibiotics it would be expedient to introduce the phage first and then the antibiotic after a certain time.
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