Application of enzyme probiotic drug developed based on microorganisms of the rumen of reindeer (Rangifer tarandus) in feeding cows
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Introduction

Modern industrial animal husbandry, especially dairy livestock, usually has demanding regimes of the production processes that overload the functional activity of the systems of the animals’ organisms (Romanov et al., 2019). When the zoohygienic rules of feeding and maintenance of animals are violated, the natural resistance of the organism, as well as their resistance to unfavourable environmental factors, decrease. This leads to growing morbidity, increase in the number of infectious, allergic, autoimmune and other pathologies. Against the background of deterioration of the ecological situation, there occur changes in pathogenesis and clinical course of various diseases, and the percentage of atypical and mild forms increases, and the chronization of the process occurs more often. In livestock breeding, the medical and preventive measures against diseases of infectious and non-infectious etiologies entail the use of a large amount of medical preparations. Antibiotics in agriculture have been usually used in livestock breeding for the prevention of bacterial infections and stimulation of growth of cattle (Nesme et al., 2015).

Broad, and not always justified, application of those preparations is accompanied by development of drug-resistance among microorganisms in the animals. According to the studies by Godziszewska et al. (2018), strains of coliform bacteria isolated from raw cow milk were resistant to a number of antibiotics used in veterinary medicine: penicillin (88%), tetracycline (39%), streptomycin (43%), chloramphenicol (78%) and tetracycline (55%). Moreover, use of antibiotics in livestock may pose a threat to human health (Wernette et al., 2012). According to the 2019 report, in the USA, 2.8 m antibiotic-resistant infections emerge daily, causing death to over 35,000 people (Alter et al., 2019). Since January 1, 2016, the European Union has completely prohibited the use of stimulatory antibiotics in animal fodders. The prohibition has been introduced to all the countries of the EU. Since then, antibiotics were allowed to be used only as medical preparations (Casewell et al., 2005).

Thus, the search for new, more efficient preparations that develop no resistance in microorganisms and have a notable antimicrobial impact, including action against antibiotic-resistant microbial strains, is quite relevant in both human and veterinary medicines. Study of the patterns in relations between animals and microbiota led to the development of a principally new class of preparations that allow the use of the biological potential of the organism accumulated over the process of co-evolution of bioorganisms. Since the 1990s, probiotics have been successfully applied to correct microbiocenoses in the intestine and as effective antimicrobial preparations. Probiotic preparations that contain strains of live bacteria are used in both human and veterinary medicines. Study of the patterns in relations between animals and microbiota led to the development of a principally new class of preparations that allow the use of the biological potential of the organism accumulated over the process of co-evolution of bioorganisms. Since the 1990s, probiotics have been successfully applied to correct microbiocenoses in the intestine and as effective antimicrobial preparations. Probiotic preparations that contain strains of live bacteria are used in both human and veterinary medicines.
ceses, increase the efficiency of feeds, improve metabolic processes, and also for preventive measures, to treat gastrointestinal infectious and non-infectious diseases that occur as a result of sudden changes in the composition of the diet, violation of feeding regimes, technological stresses, re-establishment, correction of symbiotic microflora of the digestive tract after treatment with antibiotics and antibacterial chemopreparations, and also as a substitute of antibiotics, for stimulation of non-specific immunity, and for growth of productivity of animals in general (Liu et al., 2015; Yu et al., 2016; Ma et al., 2018).

The term “probiotic” was used for the first time by Ferdinand Vergin in 1954 (Biernasik et al., 2011). In that same year, the scientist compared the deleterious actions of antibiotics and other antimicrobial substances toward the flora with the positive effect of probiotics that was induced by beneficial bacteria. In 1974, Parker used this term for the substances that contribute to the balance of intestinal microflora of the host (Parker et al., 2014). The currently used definition was proposed by the WHO in 2002. The food organization of the UN defines probiotics as live organisms that provide the host with health advantages when introduced in sufficient amount (Biernasik et al., 2011). Microorganisms used in animal feeding comprise mainly Gram-positive bacteria belonging to the genera *Bacillus*, *Enterococcus*, *Lactobacillus*, *Streptococcus* and yeasts of the Saccharomyces genus (Boris et al., 2005). The earliest probiotic still broadly used today in animal feeding is based on silage, the benefits of which has been proven by many years of use (Biernasik et al., 2011). Modern probiotic preparations should be subjected to integrated testing in correspondence with the regulation of the Commission 94/440/EU as of 22 July, 1994 defining the procedures of evaluation of additives to animal diets.

Probiotics influence bacteria of the GIT by increasing the amount of beneficial anaerobic bacteria and decreasing the populations of potentially pathogenic microorganisms. Probiotics affect the GIT ecosystem by influencing the immune mechanisms in the mucous membrane, interacting with symbiotic or potentially pathogenic microbes, generating metabolic products such as short-chain fatty acids, and commutate with the host cells using chemical signals. Those mechanisms may lead to improvement in the GIT environment, strengthening of the gastrointestinal barrier, feedback from the immune response to antigen calls (Biernasik et al., 2011; Guerner, 2017). An effective probiotic should contain no pathogens and be non-toxic, able to transfer the gastric acid and stick to the epithelium of the intestine, as well as produce antimicrobial substances. Furthermore, it should stay in the gastrointestinal tract long enough to have a positive effect (Angelakis et al., 2017). Possible mechanisms of cross-influence such as probiotic-host and probiotic-microbiota may be divided into three main categories: direct interaction with the host’s cells, inhibition of growth of pathogens and modulation of immune responses of the host (Bajić et al., 2015; Angelakis, 2017).

Today, there is a large amount of scientific-practical material on the application of probiotic and complex enzyme probiotic preparations in feeding animals and poultry (Pereirf et al., 2019; Yadar et al., 2019). New preparations for agricultural animals for increasing benefits from livestock production are currently in development. Therefore, in feeding dairy cows, preparations for agricultural animals for increasing benefits from livestock production and also digestion of the nutrients. Feeding with the preparation was started three weeks before the calving and ended 10 weeks after the calving. The results revealed that DFM had a positive effect on digestibility of the foders in the digestive tract (Al Zabah et al., 2014).

Thus, it is necessary to introduce probiotic additives as broadly as possible as a cheap, multi-fold cost-effective method of increasing productivity and quality of livestock products. Monitoring of the market of probiotics indicates that most modern probiotics are quite efficient, but at the same time are not popular in the practice due to their high cost. Therefore, dairy livestock breeding needs development of cheap and effective preparations of probiotic action (Smirnova et al., 2020).

One of such new generation preparations is the enzymatic probiotic Tsellobacterin+, developed and produced by Biotrof+ Ltd Company (Saint Petersburg, Russia). Tsellobacterin+ is a spore-forming strain of five bacteria of *Enterococcus* sp. 1-30 that act as a feed enzyme and probiotic (Lapev et al., 2014). Application of Tsellobacterin+ contributed to increase in the content of beneficial cellulosytic bacteria and decrease in the representatives of unfavourable microflora (*Lactobacillus*, *Enterobacteriaceae*, *Clostridium*) and pathogens – *Fusobacterium*, *Staphylococcus*, *Campylobacter* and *Peptococcus*, and also *Pseudomonas* compared with the control. At the same time, the study revealed that the probiotic successfully increased cellulolytic activity of the contents of the rumen and strengthened the cud chewing. Overall, the application of Tsellobacterin+ to the diet led to increase in food consumption: by 3.6% in the group of early dry period, 5.3% in the group of late dry period, by 20.2% in heifers and 3.6% in milked cows. Normalization of the composition of microflora of the rumen of cows (increase in the share of cellulosytic bacteria and decrease in the content of pathogens) under the effect of the preparation positively influenced the level of dairy productivity of the animals. The results of the studies indicated that the increase in the average daily milk yield accounted for 2.8 L, increase in the fat content was 0.19% and in protein content 0.12% compared with the control, therefore the additional profit would equal $1.02 from an individual per day. Furthermore, the amount of somatic cells in the milk of the cows decreased (Romanov et al., 2019).

Over the recent years, researchers have been drawing great attention to the ability of microorganisms to biodestruct bacterial and fungal toxins to non-toxic compounds in order to develop highly effective biopreparations (Cho et al., 2009; Reddy et al., 2009). The efficacy of biotransformation of toxin is presumably due to the great metabolic liability of microorganisms: great variety of enzymatic systems, synthesis of organic acids and various other compounds (Abrunhosa et al., 2014). According to a number of scientists, bacteria associated with the rumen of deer may perform active detoxication of the usnic acid (Sandset et al., 2009; Luzina et al., 2016) and mycoxins (Burkin et al., 2014) present in the components and diets.

In this connection, Biotrof+ Ltd Company screened highly effective isolates from the rumen contents of *Rangifer tarandus* to collect bacteria with cellulosytic and antimicrobial properties and also ability to biodestruct mycoxins as the base for highly effective preparation for breeding of deer and other types of livestock. Based on the surveys, the Rumit biopreparation was developed, the action of which has been not studied until now.

The objective of the study was determining the peculiarities of the effect of the enzyme probiotic preparation Rumit developed based on microorganisms of the rumen of reindeer (*Rangifer tarandus*) on dairy productivity and physiological parameters of health of cows, population and
generation diversity of rumen protists, as well as costs for feed in milk production.

Materials and methods

The experiments were performed in autumn-winter of 2019-2020 at the Department of Breeding Holsteinized Russian Black Pied Cattle at the Breeding Plant of the Agricultural Industrial Cooperative the Perekhodov Kolkhod (Vologda Oblast, Russia). All the manipulations with the experimental animals were performed according to the rules of the European Convention for the Protection of Vertebrate Animals used for Experimental and Other Scientific Purposes (Official Journal of the European Union L276/33, 2010). In the process of production experiments, the probiotic Rumit ("Biofotol", Ltd, Russia, 2019) was tested. The probiotic is safe for feeding cattle, contains no conditionally pathogenic or pathogenic microflora, including Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Enterobacteriaceae, Staphylococcus aureus, Candida albicans.

To perform the experiment, we formed two groups (control and experimental) of first-calf heifers with 12 individuals in each. The animals were selected using the method of balanced groups. Criteria of selection were such signs as milk yield of mothers for maximum lactation, thorough-bred quality according to the Holstein breed, live mass, number of milking days and daily milk yield at the beginning of the experiment.

The experimental animals were kept tied at current workshop technology. The animals were kept separately, both the control and experimental groups, in the same conditions, corresponding to the norms of zoohygienic control.

According to the scheme of the experiment, first-calf heifers of the experimental group, in addition to the main diet, received the Rumit supplement in the dose of 50 g per individual every day at the luncheon feeding. The experiment lasted 110 days: 20 days of the preparation period and 90 days of the account.

According to the detailed norms of Kalashnikov (2003), all the animals depending on fat mass, physiological condition, productivity and age, received the main diet taking into account the chemical composition of local feeds. To determine how substantial was the feeding, we selected samples of feeds included in the diet of the experimental groups for chemical analysis before the experiment and during change of the fodders (dry matter, raw and digestible protein, calculation of metabolic energy, ash, cellulose, sugar, starch, fat, carotene, NFE (nitrogen free extracts), calcium, phosphorus, sodium and potassium contents). Sampling, analysis and the control of the feeds were performed using the methods of the L. K. Ernst Federal Research Center for Animal Husbandry.

The feeding on the farm was conducted two-times a day using horizontal auger. During the scientific-economic experiment, the animals of the experimental groups received agricultural diet as a feed mixture. The main types of food included in the diet were silage and hay from various grasses, haylage of barley, sunflower press cake, maize, soybean, barley, soybean grist, premix, maltodextrin and salt.

Analysis of diet of heifers with daily milk yield of 34 kg in first 100 days of lactation after calving indicated that it was balanced according to the main nutrients at both the beginning and the end of the experiment (Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Norm</th>
<th>Actual</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Concentration of energy, MJ/kg of dry matter</td>
<td>10–18</td>
<td>10.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sugar-protein ratio</td>
<td>0.8–1.5</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry matter per 100 kg of live weight, kg</td>
<td>3.5–4.5</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium/potassium</td>
<td>0.3–0.5</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium/phosphorus</td>
<td>1.5–2.1</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry matter of the diet contains, %</td>
<td>10–15</td>
<td>10.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digestible protein</td>
<td>6–12</td>
<td>6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– sugar</td>
<td>23–19</td>
<td>16.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– cellulose</td>
<td>2–6</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Costs for feeds per 1 kg of milk, fodder units</td>
<td>0.85–0.95</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The dairy productivity was evaluated using the method of control milking, according to the parameters of the milk yield, mass share of fat and protein. The analysis of quality of feeds and milk was performed at the North-West Scientific Research Institute of Dairy and Meadow-Pasture Agriculture.

The whole blood was drawn from beneath the caudal vein at the beginning and the end of the experiment from 5 individuals of each group for the evaluation of completeness of feeding and the health condition. Hematological and biochemical blood assays were carried out on automatic blood analyzers using reagents manufactured by Diakon-Vet (Russia, 2019). Phagocytosis was assessed according to such parameters as phagocytic number (microbial bodies), phagocytic index (microbial bodies) and phagocytic activity of neutrophils (%). Phagocytic activity is the percentage of phagocytic neutrophils in the total number of the counted ones. Phagocytic index is the number of absorbed microbial cells (m.c.) recounted as one neutrophil of the overall number of counted neutrophils. Phagocytic number is the number of microbial cells in re-count to one active (phagocytic) neutrophil.

The samples of the rumen were taken at the beginning and the end of the experiment from 5 individuals of each group according to the method of Kuralov (1972) 2–3 h after the feeding using a mouth gag. The protists were counted in the Fuchi-Rosenthal chamber. This chamber is deeper than the Goryaev’s chamber, and it can easily accommodate large ciliates. To count the ciliates, we used Micromed-2 microscope (China). The genera were identified according to the Dogel’s Ciliophora identification tables (1929). The population was analyzed according to the study by Pesenko (1982) as the ratio of relative abundances, genera included in them (p) – shares of separate genera in the overall number of individuals of all genera.

When subjecting the animals to the experiment and at the end of it, we assessed physiological indicators of the condition of 5 animals of each group such as the body temperature, respiration frequency, pulse, and rumen contractions. At the beginning of the experiment, the obtained data confirmed the health of the experimental animals.

At the end of the experiment, we observed behavioural reactions of three animals in each group using the methods of monitoring and individual chronometry of Verediktova (1982). For 24 h during the two subsequent days we monitored the feeding behaviour of the experimental animals. At the same time, we took into account duration of the following elements of the behaviour for each animal: food intake, chewing the food, motor (standing), rest (lying and sleep), and water intake. Of the overall time (1,440 min.), we calculated the time that was spent on the said behaviour elements in absolute and percentage expressions. Furthermore, for the assessment of the feeding behaviour of the animals, we calculated the following indices: feeding, motor and overall activity. The indices of the functional activity were calculated as the ratio of time spent on one or the other type of motor activity to the total amount of time, expressed in minutes.

The data in the tables are presented as mean values and their standard deviations (x ± SD). Statistical reliability of the obtained data was determined according to the data of ANOVA at the significance level of P < 0.05.

Results

Mean daily milk yield from cows of the experimental group that received the feed probiotic in the amount of 50 g per individual a day exceeded 31.5 kg of milk, which was 8.6% higher than in the control (Table 2).

In recalculation to yield of natural milk, the cows of the experimental group were observed to produce more milk of natural fat content than the control group, by 207 kg for 90 days of the experiment. The fat output in the experimental group was reliably (P < 0.05) higher than the control by 11.4%, or by 9.6 kg, and the output of protein – by 10.3%, or 7.7 kg. For more objective comparison, we calculated the average daily yield of 4%–fat milk, which was 11.5% higher in the experimental group of first-calf heifers than the control.

Increases in the productive qualities of animals that had been fed with the enzyme probiotic preparation had a positive effect on expenditures of feeds and energy per 1 kg of 4%–fat milk (Table 3).

The animals involved in the experiment consumed an agricultural diet that contained 23.6 EFU, 22 food units, 22.2 kg of dry matter and 2,349 g of digestible protein. Expenditures of metabolic energy in lactating cows...
when consuming Rumit were 10.3% lower compared with the control group. According to the expenditures of food units of the diet per 1 kg of 4%-fat milk, the control group exceeded the experimental group by 10.8%.

Inclusion of the food supplement in the diet of animals of the experimental group led to 155,900 ind./ml, or 3-fold, increase in density of their ciliate fauna during the experiment (Fig. 1).

### Table 2
Parameters of dairy productivity in first-calf cows (x ± SD, n = 12)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Mean daily milk yield, kg</th>
<th>Total milk yield for the period of the experiment, kg</th>
<th>Mass share of fat, %</th>
<th>Mass share of protein, %</th>
<th>Daily yield of 4%-fat milk, kg</th>
<th>Total output of dairy fat for the period of the experiment, kg</th>
<th>Total output of dairy protein for the period of the experiment, kg</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>28.8 ± 1.0</td>
<td>2253 ± 83</td>
<td>3.75 ± 0.07</td>
<td>3.31 ± 0.05</td>
<td>27.0 ± 1.0</td>
<td>84.4 ± 3.1</td>
<td>74.4 ± 2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experimental</td>
<td>31.5 ± 1.2</td>
<td>2460 ± 89</td>
<td>3.82 ± 0.07</td>
<td>3.34 ± 0.05</td>
<td>30.1 ± 1.2*</td>
<td>94.0 ± 3.7*</td>
<td>82.1 ± 3.0*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: * – difference compared with the control is statistically significant at P < 0.05.

### Table 3
Energy expenditures for 1 kg of 4%-fat milk (n = 12)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>control</th>
<th>experimental</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean daily 4%-fat milk yield, kg</td>
<td>27.0 ± 0.0</td>
<td>30.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fodder units, g</td>
<td>0.81 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metabolic energy, EFU (energy fodder unit)</td>
<td>0.87 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry matter, g</td>
<td>822 ± 73</td>
<td>78</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digestible protein, g</td>
<td>87 ± 7</td>
<td>78</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Fig. 1
Effect of the probiotic preparation on the ciliate population in the contents of the cows’ rumen (x ± SD, n = 5): blue – the control group, red – the experimental group

Compared to their agenates of the control group, at the end of the experiment, the difference equaled 135,000 ind./ml. At the beginning of the experiment, the dominating group of microbiota in the cows’ rumen of both groups was represented by *Entodinium* genus, comprising 77.8–100% of the population of ciliates. The share of the *Diplodinium* genus in animals of the experimental group was 6.6% (5,000 ind./ml), that of the *Dasytricha* genus ranged starting from 2.5% (1,900 ind./ml). The remaining genera were low-numbered, equaling in total less than 1.0% of the population of ciliates (Table 4).

At the end of the experiment, in the population of ciliates in the rumen of cows of the control group, we found four genera of ciliates, and in their agenates of the experimental group, in addition, a fifth genus – *Epimastigina* was found. Among the mentioned ciliates, the dominant genus was *Entodinium*, comprising 92.0% to 92.2% (88,700–21,3200 ind./ml). The remaining genera were overall relatively abundant ranging 8.6% in the cows of the experimental group to 7.8 in their agenates in the control group. In the experimental group, we observed significant increases in the density of particular genera: *ENTODINIUM* by 124,500 ind./ml, *Diplodinium* – 2,000 ind./ml, *Dasytricha* – 3,000 ind./ml, *ISOTRICHIA* – 4,400 ind./ml.

The animals which consumed Rumit food additive spent more time on consumption of food – 25 min compared with the control group (6.9%, Table 5). The duration of cud chewing in the experimental group that received Rumit with the main diet increased by 12 min (2.7%). This group was observed to have higher number of chewing movements compared with the control group, by 259 min (3.2%). This is confirmed by the number of contractions of the rumen, a parameter that in the experimental group was 6.3% higher than the control. The animals of the experimental group had 0.026 (4.5%) higher feeding activity as compared with the control group.

### Table 4
Density of ciliates in the cows’ rumen in 1 mL of the rumen liquid, individuals (x ± SD, n = 5)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genus of ciliates</th>
<th>control (beginning of the experiment)</th>
<th>experimental (beginning of the experiment)</th>
<th>control (end of the experiment)</th>
<th>experimental (end of the experiment)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Entodinium</em></td>
<td>72100 ± 4000</td>
<td>8700 ± 4500</td>
<td>72900 ± 4250</td>
<td>213200 ± 67500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Diplodinium</em></td>
<td>6000 ± 2300</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5000 ± 100</td>
<td>8000 ± 2900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Epimastigina</em></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1830 ± 1200</td>
<td>1830 ± 1200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Dasytricha</em></td>
<td>900 ± 200</td>
<td>1900 ± 1200</td>
<td>3800 ± 1900</td>
<td>3800 ± 1900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Isotrichia</em></td>
<td>600 ± 100</td>
<td>600 ± 100</td>
<td>5000 ± 100</td>
<td>5000 ± 100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: * – difference compared with the control is statistically significant at P < 0.05.

### Table 5
Results of time measurement of the behavioural reactions of first-calf heifers (x ± SD, n = 3)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Behavioural reactions</th>
<th>Groups of animals</th>
<th>control</th>
<th>experimental</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Feeding, min</td>
<td>789 ± 16</td>
<td>826 ± 42</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– including consumption of food</td>
<td>363 ± 9</td>
<td>388 ± 30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– chewing the food while standing</td>
<td>140 ± 30</td>
<td>148 ± 30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– chewing the food while lying</td>
<td>286 ± 32</td>
<td>290 ± 32</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moving, min</td>
<td>305 ± 39</td>
<td>278 ± 38</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stops, min</td>
<td>345 ± 27</td>
<td>335 ± 67</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of chewing movements</td>
<td>7900 ± 599</td>
<td>8219 ± 553</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contractions of the rumen, times per 2 min</td>
<td>32 ± 0.3</td>
<td>3.4 ± 0.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of water intakes</td>
<td>39 ± 10</td>
<td>35 ± 13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Index of feeding activity</td>
<td>0.548 ± 0.011</td>
<td>0.574 ± 0.029</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Index of motor activity</td>
<td>0.212 ± 0.027</td>
<td>0.193 ± 0.027</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Index of the general activity</td>
<td>0.760 ± 0.019</td>
<td>0.767 ± 0.047</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: * – difference compared with the control is statistically significant at P < 0.05.

By the end of the experiment, the content of total protein in blood of cows of the experimental group was several times higher compared with the control, mainly due to albumin fraction that increased by 2.2% in blood of cows of the experimental group (Fig. 2).

In the experimental group, we observed growth of the concentration of glucose in blood to the normal values (3.28–3.77 mmol/L) and, therefore, compared with the control, this difference equaled 0.29 mmol/L.

The content of urea in blood of cows that received the probiotic supplement in addition to their diet was 0.7 mmol/L lower than that of those in the control. In the performed monitoring, we found no significant differences between the blood cholesterol in the experimental and control groups, though there was a slight decrease (1.7%) in this parameter in the blood of cows that received the biopreparation in addition to their diet. Furthermore, we observed that in both the analyzed groups of cows, the cholesterol blood content was 0.77–0.87 mmol/L higher than the reference values.

Phagocytic granulocyte leukocytes (Maamouri et al., 2014), and also content of protein in milk. The peculiarity of the feeding reactions of cattle and their digestive system is the multi-times regurgitation of food bolus and its prolonged chewing. Duration of cud chewing positively influences the digestibility and metabolism of the food the animals consume. Lactating cows with heightened productivity were observed to have a proportionate decrease in rest time and significant increase in the duration and rates of food consumption (Lagun, 2015). Inclusion of the Rumit probiotic additive to the diet of fresh cows led to an increase in the time spent on consumption of food and cud chewing, elevation in the rate of contractions of the rumen and feeding activity in general, which is coherent with the results of the observations by a number of authors (Gulyaeva, 2012; Lagun, 2015). This once again confirms that intake of food supplements has positive effects on the digestive status of the animals (Yuan et al., 2014).

While studying biochemical parameters of the blood serum of the animals, the biopreparation was seen to have positive effects on metabolic processes in the organism of fresh cows. The main parameter that sufficiently reflects the physiological processes in the organism of animals is blood protein. In the conducted researches, the provision of cows with blood protein indicates absence of lack of protein in the diet of dairy cows and its good digestion. Although these differences were not statistically significant, they may be associated with activation of the processes of synthesis and restoration of proteins, and also more intense use of amino-acids in providing the animal with necessary metabolites, and is a source of highly valuable protein and carbohydrates on itself. Use of the Rumit preparation in the research described here, contributed to the growth of the number of ciliates in the rumen. Also, in the samples of lactating cows of the experimental groups, we observed a quite wide diversity of protists. In the surveyed content of the rumen, we recorded ciliates at the stage of differentiation, indicating optimum parameters of its functioning.

Body temperature in both groups was within the range 38.0–38.2 °C. In the experimental group, the leukocyte number of the cows decreased by 32.0%, and the number of granulocytes increased by 16.6%, and the number of bacteria per one active neutrophil increased by 30.2%, compared with the control. Absorptive ability of neutrophils, as indicated by the value of phagocytic index, also increased by 40.0% (P < 0.01) in cows that received the probiotic.

Discussion

The productivity of animals to a great extent depends on fullness of the diet and rational use of biologically active components. Use of probiotic supplements contributes to the increase in activity of the metabolism in cows, leading to growth of the parameters of dairy productivity (Syrtsev et al., 2019a; Vorobyova et al., 2020), particularly milk yield and fat content in milk (Maamouri et al., 2014), and also content of protein in milk (Haiyan et al., 2017). The conducted research on the efficiency of use of the enzyme probiotic supplement Rumit in feeding newly-calved cows confirms the increase in productive qualities of cows, both regarding milk and separate components. This is likely related to the optimization of metabolic processes in the organism as a result of better digestion of nutrients. Microbes of the rumen allow the ruminants to collect energy as volatile fatty acids by fermentation of indigestible plant material. This energy accounts for about 70% of metabolic energy the animals consume. Therefore, the microbiome may be a link between the feeding and productivity of the host (Mullins et al., 2014).

Most of the diet’s nutrients are subject to complex transformations in the rumen. A certain role in these processes is played by ciliates. Ciliates mostly take part in the mechanical splitting of cellulose and mixing of food parts in the rumen, thereby indirectly improving the digestive processes, i.e. the protozoa fauna takes part directly in providing the animal with necessary metabolites, and is a source of highly valuable protein and carbohydrates on itself. Use of the Rumit preparation in the research described here, contributed to the growth of the number of ciliates in the rumen. Also, in the samples of lactating cows of the experimental groups, we observed a quite wide diversity of protists.

In the experimental group, the leukocyte number of the cows decreased by 32.0%, and the number of granulocytes increased by 40.0% (P < 0.01) in cows compared with the control. Absorptive ability of neutrophils, as indicated by the value of phagocytic index, also increased by 40.0% (P < 0.01) in cows that received the probiotic.
process in the organism on the whole is the stability of the animal’s body temperature. The intensity of metabolism and the environmental temperature are the factors on which the frequency of animals’ respiratory movements depends (Markín, 2016). In our studies, we observed normalization of body temperature and respiration frequency in the experimental groups of animals.

It is crucial in evaluating the protective abilities of the organism, to monitor the content of leukocytes in the blood, which characterize the presence of inflammatory processes in the animal’s organism. In our studies, use of the Rumit probiotic in feeding resulted in decrease in the number of leukocytes in the blood of animals of the experimental group. Such situation was also observed in the studies by Farkhutdinova where application of a probiotic preparation stimulated hematopoiesis and improved morphological parameters of the blood in animals (Farkhutdinova, 2020). Other than content of leukocytes in blood, the study analyzed the phagocytic activity of neutrophils. Application of the probiotic contributed to elevation of the level of phagocytic capacity of neutrophils in the blood of cows, as indicated by significant increase in the phagocytic number and index in the experimental animals and absence of significant changes in the animals of the control groups (Masalov, 2018). The results of our studies confirmed the positive effect of the Rumit preparation on protective abilities of the organism and the health condition of cows during the first 100 days after calving.

Conclusion

The conducted studies indicate the positive effect of the Rumit probiotic, which is based on cellulosic bacteria. Once in the organism, the biopreparation produces biologically active substances and hydrolytic enzymes that provide splitting of nutrients of feed, improve digestibility and increase in absorption of the nutrients. During feeding, the probiotic contributed to inhabitation of the gastrointestinal tract by normal microflora, thus preventing the development of pathologically condition microflora and reliving animals from possible diseases. Use of the Rumit enzyme probiotic preparation in feeding lactating cows led to increase in the feeding activity and inhabitation of the animals’ rumen by ciliates, normalizing of the metabolic processes and increase in natural resistance of first-calf heifers and ultimately to increase in dairy productivity and reduction of costs for feed and the production. The data obtained in the process of the study confirm the efficiency of the biopreparation and allow us to recommend it to be used in feeding fresh cows. Studying the effect of the probiotic drug on the parameters of health and productivity will be continued taking into account age, physiological group and breed of animals.
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