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Introduction

Mycobacterial infections are a group of multisystem infections caused by the members of the family Mycobacteriaceae. These organisms are characterized by their staining and identified as acid-fast bacilli. While most exposed and infected individuals never acquire NTM disease, some ostensibly immunocompetent persons will (Shahraki et al., 2015). Besides, some NTM pathogens also display acquired mutational drug resistance, which is the common mechanism of acquired drug resistance most physicians know because of their familiarity with TB (Cowan et al., 2015).

M. tuberculosis and other members of the M. tuberculosis complex use several strategies to resist the action of antimicrobial agents. First, the mycobacterial cell is surrounded by a specialized, highly hydrophobic cell wall that results in decreased permeability to many compounds. Active drug efflux systems and degrading or inactivating enzymes, and the genes that are associated with these functions have been found in M. tuberculosis. M. tuberculosis has been considered innately resistant to most β-lactam antibiotics that target peptidoglycan biosynthesis due to a highly active β-lactamase (BlaC) that efficiently inactivates many β-lactams and the fact that a large proportion of the cell wall peptidoglycan is cross-linked by non-classical L,d-transpeptidases, which are intrinsically impervious to these antibiotics (Bhat et al., 2017; Cygyl et al., 2017). However, genetic studies have shown that resistance of M. tuberculosis to antimycobacterial drugs is the consequence of spontaneous mutations in genes that encode either the target of the drug, or enzymes that are involved in drug activation. Resistance-associated point mutations, deletions, or insertions have been described for all first-line drugs: isoniazid, rifampin, pyrazinamide, ethambutol, and streptomycin, and several second-line and newer drugs: ethionamide, fluorquinolones, macrolides, nitromidazolopyrimans (Cohen et al., 2014; Nasti et al., 2017). Escalating the application of genomic techniques has expedited the identification of an increasing number of mutations associated with drug resistance in M. tuberculosis. Unfortunately, the preva-
lence of bacillary resistance is becoming alarming in many parts of the world, with daunting scenarios of multidrug-resistant tuberculosis (MDR-TB), extensively drug-resistant tuberculosis (XDR-TB) and drug-resistant tuberculosis (TDR-TB), due to several resistance pathways, alongside some obscure ones (Hamed et al., 2018).

Though some of the therapeutic strategies have been employed to treat and eradicate the disease, the emergence of drug-resistant strains has attracted worldwide concern. There is a greater need of alternate chemotherapeutics because, in spite of the considerable amount of research in modern chemotherapy, the exploitation of new antimicrobial agents from natural resources is considered as an important task, particularly in developing countries where the threat of drug resistance in microorganism is greater. Prodigiosin is seen to be a promising agent in this regard (Pore et al., 2016). Different studies shown that prodigiosin inhibits the growth of a broad spectrum of gram-positive (Staphylococcus spp., Bacillus spp., etc.) as well as gram-negative (Escherichia coli, Salmonella enterica, etc.) bacteria (Danevich et al., 2016). Nevertheless, the antimicrobial properties of prodigiosin have often been questioned, particularly because of the high concentrations required for it to be effective, as these exceed the levels causing toxicity in mammalian cells. For this reason, it has been studied in greater depth for its use in anticancer and immunosuppressive therapy than as an agent to fight infectious agents (Stankovic et al., 2014; Li et al., 2018). The interest in prodigiosin as a drug is demonstrated by the number of reports in the literature from the different fields concerned, as well as by the explorations of its complexation, and encapsulation, for both drug-delivery and to enhance its activity (Dzieci-Nwachukwu et al., 2017). As of today, it is relevant to implement alternative methods of treatment of infections caused by different microorganisms and to develop combined approaches for the treatment of infectious diseases (Xu et al., 2018; Pizzolato-Cezar et al., 2019). The combined approach distinguished by the use of two or more therapies for the treatment of infectious diseases. Its advantage is the ability to overcome the individual limitations of each active substance (Mulanli et al., 2019).

The objective of the study is to determine the synergy effect of prodigiosin in combinations with inhibitors of cell wall synthesis and review the cell wall as the target for pathogenic Mycobacterium spp.

Materials and methods

The experiment was performed on clinical Mycobacterium smegmatis isolate UCM B-917. The isolate obtained from D. K. Zabolotny Institute of Microbiology and Virology of the National Academy of Sciences (Kyiv, Ukraine). M. smegmatis UCM B-917 was initially grown for 24 h in nutrient broth in the presence of 0.05% Tween-80. The culture, grown in the above medium, served as an inoculum that was added to 250 mL of Sauton’s fluid medium base (Shileeva et al., 2017; Haena et al., 2019), containing: glycerol – 60 mL/L; L-asparagine – 1.330 g/L; citric acid – 0.660 g/L; dipotassium hydrogen phosphate – 0.177 g/L; magnesium sulphate 7-hydrate – 0.166 g/L; sodium dihydrogen phosphate – 0.056 g/L; sodium chloride – 0.035 g/L; ferric ammonium citrate – 0.0167 g/L. Sauton’s medium does not contain albumin, and is an alternative medium for some applications. In this medium, M. smegmatis can be grown with shaking, which gives rise to planktonic growth, or in standing cultures as a surface pellicle. Glycerol added to the medium serves as a carbon source. Salts like ferric ammonium citrate and magnesium sulphate provide inorganic ions and nitrogen sources essential for the growth of Mycobacterium. Asparagine is added to promote the initiation of growth and increase the growth rate. Tween 80 is added to reduce the natural tendency of the cells to stick together in large clumps and it also protects against a substantial amount of contamination, since many other organisms are inhibited by the presence of this detergent. For solid medium, agar was added at 15 g/L and Tween 80 was left out. The culture was incubated at +37 °C in aerobic conditions for 3–5 days. The resulting slurry compared to 0.5 McFarland turbidity standard and a final bacterial inoculum of 1–2 × 10⁸ CFU/mL was placed in each well.

As a pigment producer, we used the species S. marcescens, namely the pigment-forming strain, which was isolated in the laboratories of the Department of Microbiology, Virology, and Immunology of Bogomolets National Medical University from the bentonite clays of Kurtsivskyi deposit (Crimea, Ukraine). Red colour pigment-producing bacteria with different morphology and individual colonies were picked up separately and purified by quadrant streaking in nutrient agar plates for the isolation of the bacterium S. marcescens. The pigmented colonies of bacteria were selectively isolated and transferred by the method of loop inoculum on nutrient agar surface of the following composition: glycerol – 10 mL/L; peptone – 10 g/L; yeast extract – 2 g/L; K₂SO₄ – 10 g/L; MgCl₂ – 1.4 g/L. The final pH of the medium was 7.2 ± 0.2. Then Petri dishes with inoculated strains of S. marcescens were incubated in a thermostat at +28 °C for 24–72 h in an inverted position for the screening of pigment-producing strains. These obtained isolates were taken and identified by morphological and biochemical characterization using Bergey’s manual of systematic bacteriology (Phatak et al., 2016; Shirmathi et al., 2017). The identified bacterial isolate used for further studies.

The extraction of prodigiosin pigment from biomass of bacteria was carried out by double processing of biomass with 96% ethanol. The resulting preparation was dried in air and reextracted. The procedure was repeated several times before the release of insoluble admixtures. The resulting homogeneous solution was designated as a crude pigment complex or ethanol extract. The ethanol extract was evaporated dry in a drying oven at a temperature of +45–50 °C and, the residue dissolved in chloroform (10 mL/g of precipitate). The resulting solution was mixed with an equal volume of a water-ethanol mixture (4:1) and emulsified on a magnetic stirrer for one hour at room temperature. A water-ethanol mixture containing water-soluble admixture was separated by a separating funnel. The procedure was repeated by increasing the volume content of ethanol by half. The drug was then redissolved in a vacuum oven and redissolved (10 mL/g of precipitate) in ethanol (Durshan et al., 2015).

The purity of prodigiosin isolated from the pigmented strain was determined by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC-MS) on the Agilent 1200 device (Agilent Technologies, USA) with diode-matrix and mass-selective detectors. Detection was performed using a diode-matrix detector with 315 and 535 nm signal recording. The molecular weight of the compounds determined on a massive detector with ionization in positive and negative APCI mode.

Determination of the absorption spectra of the isolated pigment was performed by UV/VIS spectrophotometry method (Pore et al., 2016; Chenqiang et al., 2019). Absorption spectra of the extract were tested by Portlab 512 spectrophotometer in the range 400–700 nm. Absorption of bacterial cells before extraction was noted at each stage. The concentration of pigment was calculated using the following equation (Hassan et al., 2015; Kinny et al., 2016):

\[
\text{Concentration of pigment} = \frac{(\text{OD}_{534} - 1.381 \times \text{OD}_{620}) \times 1000}{\text{OD}_{620}}
\]

where \(\text{OD}_{620}\) – optical density; \(\text{OD}_{534}\) – represent pigment absorption; \(\text{OD}_{620}\) – represent bacterial cells absorption; 1.381 – constant.

In this study we used antibiotic gradient synergy testing to evaluate antimicrobial combinations, which included: amoxiclav, ampicillin, benzylpenicillin, vancomycin, imipenem, cephalazin, ceftiraxone and as drugs for comparison – kanamycin and rifampicin in combination with prodigiosin pigment. Antibiotics were obtained from Pharmaceutical Damitsa firm (Kyiv, Ukraine). All antibiotics were tested in combination prodigiosin isolated from S. marcescens against clinical M. smegmatis isolate. Stock antibiotic solutions were prepared and dilutions made according to the CLSI (Clinical Laboratory Standardization Institute) method or manufacturer’s recommendations (Wayne, 2015). All compounds, except rifampicin (2.0 mL ethanol + 8.0 mL sterile distilled water) and pigment prodigiosin (0.5 mL ethanol + 0.5 mL sterile distilled water) were dissolved in 10 mL of sterile distilled water to form their (w/v) stock solution. Different concentrations of compounds were prepared and used during this study while the stock solutions were stored in a freezer at −20 °C (rifampicin and pigment prodigiosin at +4 °C) until use.

Disk diffusion tests performed by using Sauton’s agar culture medium. The test was prepared by suspending colonies of M. smegmatis into Sauton’s broth and adjusted to an optical density equal to
0.5 McFarland standard. The organisms were evenly spread on the surface Sauton’s agar plate using a cotton swab and allowed to dry for about 10 min before the disks were applied to the plate and duplicated plates performed. After the inoculation on the surface, Sauton’s agar with a direct suspension of isolated colonies adjusted to turbidity standard, commercial antimicrobial disks were placed on the agar surface. Antibiotic discs (amoxiclav: 10 μg, ampicillin: 10 μg, benzylpenicillin: 10 μg, imipenem 10 μg, ceftriaxone 30 μg, cephalotxin 30 μg, kanamycin 30 μg and rifampicin 5 μg) were from Hi Media Laboratories. After 72–96 h of incubation at +37 °C, the results were interpreted as either sensitive, intermediate, or resistant according to the inhibitory zone diameters around the disks using CLSI breakpoints (Wayne, 2017; Wayne, 2018). The test was performed in duplicate and at two independent time points.

Limitations of the methods include the difficulty in the interpretation of zones of inhibition, especially when the amount of drug in the disk is near the breakpoint of the drug. The result is a fine haze of growth width in the zone of inhibition, which can be difficult to interpret. Just as the inoculum can affect the results of broth microdilution tests, too heavy an inoculum can also cause falsely resistant interpretations of the disk zones. In this case, as with other methods, careful attention to the turbidity of the organism suspension is critical (Alcaide et al., 2017).

The minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) for antibiotics alone and their combinations with pigment prodigiosin were determined in triplicate by the microbroth dilution method in Sauton’s broth according to CLSI (Wayne, 2015). Different concentrations of each of the antibiotics ranging from 250 to 0.1 μg/mL were prepared, except for their combinations with pigment prodigiosin (antibiotics in combination with prodigiosin were in ratio 1:1). In some cases, it is possible to increase the dose of at least one of the compounds of the combination within the tolerable toxicity. One milliliter of each working antibiotic concentration was serially diluted in Sauton’s broth. After the serial dilution, 100 µL of each of the adjusted bacterial strains was dispensed into each tube containing each antibiotic or their combinations with prodigiosin pigment. The minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) was expressed as the lowest concentrations which inhibited growth as judged by the lack of turbidity in the tube. As a control, a tube containing antibiotics alone and a tube containing inocula alone, in each rack, were incubated simultaneously along with other tubes containing inocula for MIC determination. The number of surviving cells was normalized to the number of cells counted on plates without antibiotic for each strain and expressed as the relative CFU (percent CFU).

The broth microdilution format is traditionally set up as 2-fold dilutions and the MIC does not represent as absolute value; for example, if the MIC is reported to be 32 μg/mL, the “true” MIC would fall between the lowest concentration that inhibits the growth of the organism (the “reported” MIC) and the next-lowest concentration. For example, the “true” MIC for the above-described example would be between 32 and 16 μg/mL. Thus, generally, the acceptable values are within one 2-fold dilution of the actual endpoint and standards that help to ensure quality results of the test have been proposed. A proper inoculum is critical for the determination of valid MICs. A too-heavy inoculum may result in falsely resistant MIC readings, whereas a too-light inoculum may give falsely susceptible MIC readings due to the inadequate growth of the organism in broth (Alcaide et al., 2017).

The tubes were incubated at +37 °C from 72 to 96 h and thereafter observed for growth or turbidity. Subsequently, a loopful of broth from each test tube not showing growth was inoculated into a nutrient agar plate. Thereafter, equal volumes of sterile nutrient broth were added into the test tubes and incubated further from 72 to 96 h at +37 °C. Then, the tubes and agar plates were examined for growth or turbidity using the unaided eye. These experiments were repeated three times. The MBC was determined by adding 50 µL of the suspensions from the wells which did not show any growth after incubation during MIC assays to 150 µL of fresh broth. These suspensions were reincubated at +37 °C from 72 to 96 h. The MBC was determined as the lowest concentration of extract which inhibited 100% growth of microorganisms (Phillips et al., 2017).

To evaluate the synergistic, additive, indifference or antagonistic effect of the combination, the fractional inhibitory concentration index (FICI) was calculated for each antibiotic combination (Singh et al., 2018; Xu et al., 2018) by computing the ratio of the MIC (MBC) of the combination divided by the MIC (MBC) of the antimicrobial alone for each agent, and then adding those two ratios together (Equation A). Briefly, FICI calculated as follows:

\[
\text{FICI} = \frac{\text{MIC}_{A+B}}{\text{MIC}_A + \text{MIC}_B}
\]

where, \(\text{MIC}_{A+B} = \text{MIC (MBC)}\) of A in the presence of drug B; \(\text{MIC}_A = \text{MIC (MBC)}\) of drug A alone; \(\text{MIC}_B = \text{MIC (MBC)}\) of B in the presence of drug A; \(\text{MIC}_{A+B} = \text{MIC (MBC)}\) of drug B alone. The FICI data were interpreted using the following criteria: Synergy defined as a FICI of ≤ 0.5; no interaction: 0.5–4.0 (additive: 0.5–1.0; indifference: FICI 1.0–4.0) and antagonism by FICI of > 4.0. Discrepant MIC results and those combinations with FICI ≤ 1 were confirmed by performing an additional duplicate synergy test.

Results between the disk diffusion and the broth dilution can be compared and quantified using the CLSI cutoffs and categorical levels specified. Broth dilution report MICs (μg/mL) represents a continuous measure of per-unit concentrations. Disk diffusion provides an inhibition zone diameter, which is also a continuous metric but in mm of distance. A value of \(P < 0.05\) was considered as statistically significant (Nuzzo, 2014; Amrhein et al., 2017; Colquhoun, 2017). One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), variation and statistical processing of the obtained results were performed by using the specialized software Statistica 9.0 (StatSoft Inc., USA). Suitable parameters presented in the work have the following designations: “x” is the sample average; “SE” is the standard error of the average value.

Results

The impact of antimicrobial agents on the susceptibility of \(M.\) smegmatis in disk diffusion testing in conditions of a 5-day laboratory experiment is demonstrated in Figure 1. A comparative analysis of the susceptibility to antimicrobial agents in the disk diffusion test showed that \(M.\) smegmatis was the most susceptible to ceftriaxone and kanamycin, and moderately sensitive to vancomycin and prodigiosin. The susceptibility of the isolate to the antibacterial combinations with prodigiosin in disk diffusion testing was not similar to that of antimicrobial agents alone. In the presence of prodigiosin, antibacterial activities of all antibiotics were not enhanced.

**Fig. 1.** Diameters of inhibition zones of growth \(M.\) smegmatis to the ten antimicrobial agents: amoxiclav, ampicillin, benzylpenicillin, cephalotxin, imipenem and rifampicin showed zone of inhibition (0 mm); error bars represent means ± SE; * – \(P < 0.05\).
The impact of antimicrobial agents on the susceptibility of *M. smegmatis* in the broth microdilution method in conditions of a 5-day laboratory experiment is demonstrated in Figure 2. A comparative analysis of the susceptibility to antimicrobial agents in the broth microdilution method shows that *M. smegmatis* was most sensitive to vancomycin, prodigiosin, kanamycin, and rifampicin. On the contrary, the susceptibility of the isolate to amoxiclav, ampicillin, benzylpenicillin and imipenem did show inhibitory effect when making the maximum test concentration.

Other combinations with the FICIs from 0.529 to 1.498 showed no synergistic activity. The interaction of prodigiosin with the first-line drug rifampin and the second-line drug kanamycin was also analyzed in *M. smegmatis*. There was no synergy between prodigiosin and rifampicin or kanamycin, whereas a neutralistic profile was observed. The sensitivity of *M. smegmatis* to a set of five antibiotics with different structures and one cellular target analyzed in the presence of prodigiosin enhanced their activities. In these experiments, prodigiosin increased the potency (at least 8-fold) of ampicillin, benzylpenicillin, cephalothin, ceftriaxone, and imipenem against *M. smegmatis*. Importantly, the MIC of prodigiosin combinations with antibiotics in *vitro* against *M. smegmatis* was 2- to 32-fold lower than alone.

Ampicillin, benzylpenicillin, vancomycin, ceftriaxone, and imipenem combination with prodigiosin were tested in *vitro* to determine whether they were bacteriostatic or bactericidal against *M. smegmatis*. If a drug had bactericidal activity, culture growth would not resume after dilution into fresh medium. When the MBC/MIC ratio for the individual drugs was determined, six antibiotics had bactericidal effect from 1.2 to 15.6 μg/mL in combination with 1.4 to 2.8 μg/mL of prodigiosin (Table 2).

Interestingly, when prodigiosin was assayed in combination with a different fixed concentration of antibiotics, the MBC of prodigiosin was in the range 1.4–2.8 μg/mL, suggesting that the bactericidal character of prodigiosin was dominant in the combination. This effect was not observed when prodigiosin was assayed in combination with amoxiclav. These results revealed prodigiosin as a potential new bactericidal chemical entity for TB therapy.

The combinations of prodigiosin and ampicillin, benzylpenicillin, cephalothin, ceftriaxone, or imipenem had a synergistic effect, without any antagonism and the mean FICI values were in a range from 0.076 to 0.374. The combinations of prodigiosin and ampicillin, kanamycin, or rifampicin FICI values were in a range from 0.529 to 1.498 representing had indifference effect. Interestingly, the combination ofprodigiosin and vancomycin showed synergy only at higher concentrations of an antibiotic.

### Discussion

For research on the effect of prodigiosin of bentonite strain of *S. marcescens* on the antimicrobial activity of inhibitors of cell wall synthesis and the susceptibility of *Mycobacteria* spp. to their joint action as test-culture, we selected *M. smegmatis*, as an alternative avirulent mycobacterial strain that is used as a surrogate for *M. tuberculosis* and has many common features with pathogenic mycobacteria. Routine *in vitro* screening for novel antmycobacterial compounds was facilitated by the availability of avirulent mycobacterial strains that are easy to screen for novel antmycobacterial compounds.
grow. *M. smegmatis* is useful for the research analysis of other *Mycobacteria* species in laboratory experiments and could be useful at the initial phase of screening for new drugs (Wu & Chan, 2016; Arthur et al., 2019). *M. smegmatis* is an aerobic, fast-growing with a fast doubling time, non-pathogenic mycobacterium that has the potential to adapt to microaerobiosis by changing from active growth to dormant or latent. It can be dormant in conditions of low oxygen concentrations and can survive for more than 650 days in the absence of carbon, nitrogen, and phosphorus states (Wu & Gengenbacher, 2016; Trumva et al., 2018). Furthermore, it is readily cultivable in most synthetic or complex laboratory media, where it can form visible colonies in 3–5 days. This species shares more than 2000 homologous genes with *M. tuberculosis* and shares the same peculiar cell wall structure of *M. tuberculosis* and other mycobacterial species (Hirayuki et al., 2016). *M. smegmatis* is particularly useful in understanding the cellular processes, including drug resistance, dormant state, fatty acid exchange, and gene expression regulation that are important to pathogenic mycobacteria like *M. tuberculosis*, *M. leprae* and *M. avium subsp. paratuberculosis* (Malhotra et al., 2017).

The first area of research, presented in the paper, was the evaluation of the susceptibility of mycobacteria to antimicrobial preparations, due to the impact of prodigiosin of *S. marcescens* and inhibitors of cell wall synthesis on *M. smegmatis*. In this research, we studied the suitability and reliability of disk diffusion to monitor the susceptibility of *M. smegmatis* isolate to inhibitors of cell wall synthesis. We have demonstrated that the disk diffusion testing had good correlations when compared with the broth dilution method (except cephalosporins). This finding is important because the disk diffusion test remains a common method used by many regional laboratories and hospitals for the detection of antimicrobial resistance. This observation is important because it suggests that disk diffusion remains appropriate as a routine method to detect isolates with non-susceptibility phenotype. The diffusion test appears appropriate for qualitative antibiotic susceptibility testing for clinicians to determine and choose the appropriate drug for treatment when no other testing alternatives are available. However, the disk diffusion test has additional limitations that should be considered. The test is labour-intensive and has similar limitations to other culture-based tests. Reading the disk diffusion test can be subjective, human errors can affect the outcome, and despite categorical classifications, it may have somewhat larger reproducibility variations than the agar dilution test. The reason for the variability of results between our study and those presented in other published studies for some of the antimicrobial combinations could be due to the difference in strains studied in different countries or differences in the methodologies used in these studies.

The second area of research is the combined use of prodigiosin with antibacterial drugs, presented in this publication, reproduced by the simultaneous effect of the prodigiosin pigment and inhibitors of cell wall synthesis on *M. smegmatis*. The results of the synergistic effect confirm and supplement the literature on the potentiation of conventional antibiotics and antimicrobial agents from natural resources. In summary, a set of seven antibiotics with different structures and one cellular target—cell wall, was analyzed in the presence of prodigiosin and identified six (except amoxiclav) as having activities synergistic with prodigiosin against *M. smegmatis*. The combinations of prodigiosin and ampicillin, benzylpenicillin, imipenem, cephalozin, or ceftriaxone had synergistic effects. The combinations of prodigiosin and amoxiclav, kanamycin, or rifampicin had an indifference effect. Prodigiosin works in combination and by amplifying the effect of compounds inhibiting cell wall synthesis, thereby giving lower FICI values, an important finding of our study.

A study of interactions of compounds showed that prodigiosin may be inactivating the different cellular targets, unlike inhibitors of cell wall synthesis, and act more efficiently together. Thus, the results of studies suggest that prodigiosin by hydrolysis of lipids, which predominate in the cell walls of mycobacteria leads to impaired permeability or embedded in the cell membrane of bacteria forms in its pores and passes antibiotics through it. Interestingly, prodigiosin enhanced the activity of inhibitors of cell wall synthesis, antibiotics that are not clinically effective in treating TB or NTM on its own. We demonstrated that prodigiosin could be used to enhance the activities of inhibitors of cell wall synthesis and maybe other non-clinically effective antibiotics against pathogenic or non-tuberculosis mycobacteria. The study has indicated that synergistic combinations of antimicrobial agents which are susceptible to pathogenic bacteria had a great potency to prevent resistance. The resultant synergy in the combination of prodigiosin and inhibitors of cell wall synthesis is a novel concept, as such combinations will have identical or different mechanisms of action, which may lead to new choices of therapeutic agents for the treatment, especially infections caused by multidrug-resistant microorganisms for which no effective therapy is available. Combinations of inhibitors of cell wall synthesis with prodigiosin may warrant further clinical investigation as treatments for tuberculosis and another the diseases associated with pathogenic *Mycobacterium* spp.

The third area of research is revisiting the cell wall as the target for treatment and the potential of targeting this essential structure to tackle Mycobacteria, especially *M. tuberculosis*. Most of the anti-TB drugs associated with cell wall biosynthesis inhibition lack the ability to reduce treatment duration of TB drug regimens. This is related to the fact that modification of cell wall targets mediated by specific enzymes or the accumulation of chromosomal mutations and degradation/modification of drugs by the production of antibiotic inactivating enzymes has rendered *M. tuberculosis* resistant to most classes of antimicrobials, and some bacteria can withstand the presence of the antibiotics by becoming dormant, i.e., being unable to replicate, as dormant bacteria do not actively synthesize the cell wall and are presumably not affected by the presence of inhibitors of the cell wall synthesis (Gygli et al., 2017; Nasiri et al., 2017). The limited number of new anti-mycobacterial agents approved for therapy and the wide variety of *M. tuberculosis* intrinsic and acquired drug resistance mechanisms to the available drugs have contributed to an increased effort to repurpose the use of antibiotics that are not commonly used in anti-TB therapy and to find suitable synergistic antibiotic combinations for effective treatment of life-risk TB (Diacon et al., 2016; Catalão et al., 2019). The results of the study made it possible to determine that the final result is influenced by the level of antimicrobial activity of the pigment used. The simultaneous use of the prodigiosin pigment of *S. marcescens* to enhance the susceptibility of mycobacteria to inhibitors of the cell wall synthesis, which have not been found clinically effective for the treatment against pathogenic *Mycobacterium* spp., are of great scientific importance.

Conclusions

The increase presented here in sensitivity of mycobacterium to antimicrobial drugs is due to the consistent influence of the metabolite of *S. marcescens*, and antibacterial preparation on *M. smegmatis*. The greatest increase in the sensitivity of test-culture of mycobacteria after the use of inhibitors of cell wall synthesis and prodigiosin was observed in relation to ceftriaxone and kanamycin, and moderately sensitive to vancomycin and prodigiosin. The absence of inhibition of the diameter of the growth was observed for the preparations of amoxiclav, kanamycin, and rifampicin. The susceptibility of the isolate to the antibacterial combinations with prodigiosin in disk diffusion testing did not show susceptibility similar to lone antimicrobial agents. The disk diffusion testing and broth dilution method produced comparable results of sensitivity for amoxiclav, ampicillin, benzylpenicillin, imipenem, and kanamycin, but neither methods showed comparable results for antibiotics in combination with prodigiosin. Evaluation of the effect of prodigiosin on the sensitivity of *M. smegmatis* in combination with different inhibitors of cell wall synthesis showed that the change in the sensitivity of the microbial cells of the pathogen was observed to varying degrees. The combinations of prodigiosin and ampicillin, benzylpenicillin, cephalozin, ceftriaxone, or imipenem had a synergistic effect, without any antagonism and the result FICI values were in a range from 0.076 to 0.374. The combinations of prodigiosin and amoxiclav, kanamycin, or rifampicin FICI values were in a range from 0.529 to 1.498 representing an indifference effect. Interestingly, the combination of prodigiosin and vancomycin showed synergy only at higher concentrations of an antibiotic. The most active antibiotics among all the samples for enhancing the antimicrobial activity of prodigiosin and increasing the sensitivity of *M. smegmatis* to their combined use are ceftriaxone and ampicillin. This makes prodigiosin...
sin a promising candidate for the development of "accompaniment-preparations", for inhibitors of cell wall synthesis, which have not been considered as being useful drugs for the treatment of infectious diseases caused by *Mycobacterium* spp. and which can suspend the likelihood of developing resistance to other antibiotics.
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